



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,063	08/20/2001	William D. Goodman	Verizon-10	6764

32127 7590 02/23/2005

VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN
600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE
MAILCODE HQEO3H14
IRVING, TX 75038

EXAMINER

PEACHES, RANDY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2686

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/933,063

Applicant(s)

GOODMAN, WILLIAM D.

Examiner

Randy Peaches

Art Unit

2686

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. ***Claims 1-3, 13-17 and 20*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1).

Regarding ***claim 1***, Suojasto teaches of a method of compiling statistics (location update request/messages) on mobile stations, which reads on claimed "processing active wireless device statistics", the method comprising:

- receiving said statistics on the number of active mobile stations, as taught in column 2 lines 59-65, in a least one area, which reads on claimed "communication cell". See column 2 lines 6-20, 26-31, 61-65;
- estimating the number said mobile stations, which reads on claimed "people", both passive and active mobile stations (see column 2 lines 28-39, 61-65), in a geographical area, which reads on claimed "region", if interest from the received statistics on the number of said active mobile stations.

Regarding **claim 2**, according to **claim 1**, wherein receiving statistics include:

- receiving information from a plurality of different communication cells grouped into location areas (FIGURE 2), said information including at a first count corresponding to a number of said active mobile stations in a first communication cell and a second count corresponding to the number of said active mobile stations in a second communication cell. See columns 6 and 7 lines 64-67 lines 1-4, respectively.

Regarding **claim 3**, according to **claim 2**, wherein estimating the number of people in a said geographical area of interest includes:

- correlating the said first and second counts corresponding to the first and second communications cell, respectively, to the said geographical area of interest to generate a set of said location areas, which reads on claimed "target area", statistics including an estimate of the number of said active mobile stations in the said area of interest. See column 2 lines 39-47.

Regarding **claim 13**, according to **claim 1**, wherein receiving statistics on a number of said active mobile stations include:

- receiving said active mobile station statistics corresponding to different points in time. See column 4 lines 8-16 lines 30-35; and
- generating, from the received said active mobile stations statistics corresponding to at least two different points in time, as disclosed in column 6 lines 20-29,

Art Unit: 2686

information on the capacity, which reads on claimed "flow of traffic", in the said geographical area of interest.

Regarding **claim 14**, Suojasto teaches of a method of generating a traffic flow report, the method comprising the steps of:

- collecting the said active mobile stations statistics from a cell, which reads on claimed "communication cell" over predetermined intervals, which reads on claimed "period of time". See columns 5 and 6 lines 40-49, 66-67 lines 1-9, respectively; and
- detecting changes in the collected said active mobile stations statistics by taking into consideration both the active and passive mobile stations, which reads on claimed "changes in the collected statistics", as taught in column 6 lines 5-9; and
- generating a report, as disclosed in column 4 lines 30-35, that disclose the traffic capacity based on the said active and passive mobile stations collected statistics.

Regarding **claim 15**, according to **claim 14**, wherein detecting changes include at least one of an increase and a decrease in the number of said active mobile stations.

Further, Suojasto discloses that within a defined said geographical area, the system monitors the traffic based on the activity of the said mobile stations. The said activity is related to active (a call going on) or passive (no call going on). Therefore, it is inherent that the increase and decreasing of the recorded traffic count is apparent, since the said

Art Unit: 2686

traffic report is base on both active and passive mobile station with a defined geographical area.

Regarding **claim 16**, according to **claim 14**, wherein detecting changes include changes in that mode of a said mobile station from active to passive, which reads on claimed "identity", being serviced by a cell.

Regarding **claim 17**, Suojasto teaches of an apparatus for estimating the number of mobile stations, which reads on claimed "people", in a geographical area, which reads on claimed "region", the apparatus comprising:

- a control unit (6), which reads on claimed "interface", for receiving a said active mobile station count from at least one communication cell;
- a means for estimating, as taught in column 2 lines 57-65, based on the number of said active mobile station count the number of said mobile station in a said geographical area including at least a portion of a said communication cell. See column 5 lines 40-49.

Regarding **claim 20**, Suojasto teaches in FIGURE 2 column 5 lines 12-13 and claim 5, of a cellular radio system, which reads on claimed "wireless communication system", the system comprising:

- a plurality of base station (BTS1-BTS5), which reads on claimed "wireless communication centers, each said BTS collecting statistical information on the

number of said active mobile stations being serviced at a predetermined interval, which reads on claimed "point in time". See column 2 lines 13-38.

- a control unit (6), coupled to the said BTS, the said control unit receiving the said BTS statistics on the number of said active mobile stations being serviced, the said control unit (6) including: See FIGURE 2.
- means for estimating the number said mobile stations, which reads on claimed "people", both passive and active mobile stations (see column 2 lines 28-39), in a geographical area, which reads on claimed "region", if interest from the received statistics on the number of said active mobile stations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title; if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. ***Claims 11 and 12*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view of Dunn (U.S. Patent Number 5,659,596).

Regarding ***claim 11***, Suojasto teaches of a method of processing both active and passive statistics, the method comprising:

- receiving said statistics on the number of active mobile stations, as taught in column 2 lines 59-65, in a least one area, which reads on claimed "communication cell". See column 2 lines 6-20, 26-31, 61-65;
- estimating the number said mobile stations, which reads on claimed "people", both passive and active mobile stations (see column 2 lines 28-39, 61-65), in a geographical area, which reads on claimed "region", if interest from the received statistics on the number of said active mobile stations.

However, Suojasto fails to clearly disclose wherein predicting characteristics of the devices in the geographic region of interest from the type of devices in the geographic region of interest.

Dunn teaches in column 9 lines 38-45 where the local service office is supplied with remote subscriber unit's (RSU) identification code or specification codes identifying the type of device being used, which reads on claim "predicting characteristics of people from the type of device in the geographic region of interest.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) to include Dunn (U.S. Patent Number 5,659,596) in order to provide a means to identify the type communicating device within a cell so that a more accurate means of estimating capacity load within an area is realized.

Regarding **claim 12**, as the combination of Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) and Dunn (U.S. Patent Number 5,659,596) are made, the combination according to

Art Unit: 2686

claim 11, further discloses wherein Suojasto teaches in column 4 lines 30-35 where a report of the received statistics is generated and sent to the operator of the network.

2. **Claims 4-8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view Yang et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,192,243 B1).

Regarding **claim 4**, according to **claim 3**, Suojasto teaches of a method wherein estimating the number of said mobile stations in a said geographical area of interest includes:

- receiving said statistics on the number of active mobile stations, as taught in column 2 lines 59-65, in a least one area, which reads on claimed "communication cell". See column 2 lines 13-20;
- estimating the number said mobile stations, which reads on claimed "people", both passive and active mobile stations (see column 2 lines 28-39), in a geographical area, which reads on claimed "region", if interest from the received statistics on the number of said active mobile stations.

However, Suojasto does not teach of performing an extrapolating function with said statistics to determine the estimate number of people in the geographic area of interest.

Yang et al discloses in column 2 lines 56-65 an algorithm is used to determine traffic within a cell.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) to include Yang et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,192,243 B1) in order to calculate the number of said mobile stations, which reads on claimed "people", within a geographical area based on the said active and passive statistical information.

Regarding **claim 5**, as the above combination of Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view of Yang et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,192,243 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 4**, further comprises:

- generating a report, requested by an operator, as taught by Suojasto in column 4 lines 8-16 lines 30-44, which includes the estimate of the number of said mobile stations in the said geographical area of interest; and
- sending, which reads on claimed "outputting", said report to said operator. See Suojasto column 4 lines 30-35.

Regarding **claim 6**, as the above combination of Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view of Yang et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,192,243 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 4**, further comprises:

- allows an accurate picture of said mobile stations in a said geographic area from the received said statistics on the number of said active mobile stations. See Suojasto column 4 lines 29-35.

Regarding **claim 7**, as the above combination of Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view of Yang et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,192,243 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 6**, wherein the said active mobile stations from different cells each at least partially, as seen in Suojasto FIGURE 2, said geographical area of interest are used to accurate picture of said mobile stations. See Suojasto column 3 lines 45-49.

Regarding **claim 8**, as the above combination of Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view of Yang et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,192,243 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 6**, further comprising:

- generating a report, requested by an operator, as taught by Suojasto in column 4 lines 8-16 lines 30-44, which includes the estimate of the number of said mobile stations in the said geographical area of interest and accurate picture of said mobile stations in a said geographic area from the received said statistics on the number of said active mobile stations. See Suojasto column 4 lines 29-35.

3. **Claims 9-10 and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view Seraj (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,745 B1).

Regarding **claim 9**, as claimed in claim **2**, Suojasto teaches wherein receiving statistics include:

- receiving information from a plurality of different communication cells grouped into location areas (FIGURE 2), said information including at a first count corresponding to a number of said active mobile stations in a first communication cell and a second count corresponding to the number of said active mobile stations in a second communication cell. See columns 6 and 7 lines 64-67 lines 1-4, respectively.

However, Suojasto does not disclose the fact that each count, in regards to the capacity of the network, varies based on the type of device.

Seraj teaches in column 4 lines 19-24, of mobile stations, such as communication Personal Communication Service (PCS) or cellular phones, but also includes computers, PDA's or other wireless terminals. Although, the cited reference of Seraj does not clear specify that the traffic information, which reads on claimed "count", received from the first and second cells depends on the type of device within the cell, it is obvious that the method of estimating the traffic conditions of a cell takes into consideration the different type of devices being used within that given area and collectively, despite the type of device being used within the cell, gather the said traffic information.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) to include Seraj (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,745 B1) in order to obtain the said counts within a first and second cell dependent on the type of said mobile stations.

Regarding **claim 10**, the above combination Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view of Seraj (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,745 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 18**, Seraj teaches where the type of said mobile station can include a first type as a cellular phone, see column 4 lines 19-27, and a second type can be a personal data assistant (PDA).

Regarding **claim 18**, as claimed in **claim 17**, Suojasto teaches

- wherein means for estimating includes: means for correlating the said active and passive mobile stations to a defined geographical area of interest to generate a set of said location areas statistics including an estimate of the number of said active mobile stations in a said geographical area of interest.

However, Suojasto fails to teach of obtaining a first and second count corresponding to the first and second cell.

Seraj teaches in column 3 lines 15-32, of receiving traffic statistics from a first and second cell.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) to include Seraj (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,745 B1) to obtain the accurate count of said mobile stations within a first and second communication cell to efficiently estimating the total traffic count within a defined said geographical area.

4. **Claim 19** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view Seraj (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,745 B1) and in further view of Yang et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,192,243 B1).

Regarding **claim 19**, the above combination Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) in view of Seraj (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,745 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 18**, teaches of (Suojasto) estimating the number said mobile stations, which reads on claimed "people", both passive and active mobile stations (see column 2 lines 28-39), in a geographical area, which reads on claimed "region", if interest from the received statistics on the number of said active mobile stations.

However the combination of Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) and Seraj (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,745 B1) fails to teach of performing an extrapolating function with said statistics to determine the estimate number of people in the geographic area of interest.

Yang et al discloses in column 2 lines 56-65 an algorithm is used to determine traffic within a cell.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Suojasto (U.S. Patent Number 6,487,413 B1) and Seraj (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,745 B1) to further include Yang et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,192,243 B1) in order to calculate the number of said mobile stations, which reads on claimed "people", within a geographical area based on the said active and passive statistical information.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the independency of ***claims 1, 11, 14, 17 and 20***, the Applicant asserts that the Examiner's reliance on the prior art of Suojasto fails to clearly disclose the Applicant's claimed invention. The Applicant's primary argument is based on the premise that the estimation of number of people within an environment cannot truly be based on the number of mobile devices within that area, whether they are active or passive.

However, the Examiner concludes that it is inherent that the association of "people" with the number of mobile devices within a given area is clearly justified by the fact that the cited prior art teaches of providing information on "active" mobile devices. The "active" status of the respected mobile device teaches that a call process is taking place, which means an "individual" (person) is using the device. Not only does the cited prior art gain information about the "active" devices, but also the "passive" ones as well. This occurrence further enhances the probable estimation of individuals (people) within a particular area.

Therefore, based on the above rejection and the Examiner's comments toward the Applicant's arguments, ***claims 1-20*** stand fully rejected.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy Peaches whose telephone number is (703) 305-8993. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2686

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randy Peaches
February 10, 2005



**CHARLES APPIAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER**